Page 930 1 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 2 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 DEPARTMENT NE R HON. C. EDWARD SIMPSON, JUDGE 4 5 CONSOLIDATED PROCEEDINGS SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 3.550) 6 LAOSD ASBESTOS CASES ) JCCP Case No. 4674 7 TINA HERFORD AND DOUGLAS HERFORD, ) Case No. BC646315 8 Plaintiffs, 9 VS. 10 AT&T CORP., a subsidiary of AT&T INC. and its subsidiary PACIFIC 11 BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, et al., 12 Defendants. 13 14 P.M. SESSION 15 REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 16 17 OCTOBER 23, 2017 18 19 APPEARANCES: 20 For Plaintifs: SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER BARTLETT BY: CHRISTOPHER J. PANATIER, ESQ. 21 LEAH C. KAGAN, ESQ. 3780 KILROY AIRPORT WAY, SUITE 540 22 LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90806 (562) 590-3400 23 SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER BARTLETT BY: JAY STUEMKE, ESQ. 24 3232 McKINNEY AVENUE, SUITE 610 DALLAS, TEXAS 75204 25 26 (Appearances, Continued Next Page) 27 REPORTED BY: DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558 28 OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

Page 933 1 CASE NAME: HERFORD VS. AT&T CORP., ET AL. 2 CASE NUMBER: BC646315 PASADENA, CALIFORNIA OCTOBER 23, 2017 3 DEPARTMENT NE R HON. C. EDWARD SIMPSON 4 DEBORAH MORIN, CSR NO. 11558 5 REPORTER: 6 APPEARANCES: (AS HERETOFORE MENTIONED.) 7 1:38 P.M. TIME: 8 9 (The following proceedings were held in open court in the presence of the jury:) 10 11 12 THE COURT: The jury has reassembled. You can continue, Mr. Benoff. 13 14 MR. BENOFF: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 16 STEVEN COMPTON, PH.D., 17 called by the Plaintiffs, having been previously duly sworn, resumed the witness stand and testified further as follows: 18 19 2.0 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Resumed) 21 BY MR. BENOFF: 2.2 Welcome back. Before we left off, we were 0 23 talking about the two different versions of amphibole we 24 were talking about. One kind is asbestos and one kind 25 isn't. Do you remember that? 26 I do. Α 27 Would you agree that if you take the kind that 28 is not asbestos and crush it, that doesn't magically

Page 934

turn the pieces into asbestos, does it?

- A Not according to an industrial definition of asbestos.
- Q But if those pieces are a certain size and shape, you'd count them as asbestos anyways, wouldn't you?
- A Not just me, but anybody that's using these methods, yes.
  - Q Let me give you a hypothetical.
- MR. BENOFF: Can you please put up on the screen.
- And we will mark this as Exhibit 5802 for identification purposes.

15 (Defendant's Exhibit 5802 marked for identification.)

1718

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

2.8

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

## BY MR. BENOFF:

Q Sir, I'd like you to assume that this is a crystal of tremolite, the kind that is not asbestos.

And that when it's crushed it forms all of these shapes.

Can we go to the next slide.

And I'd like to you assume that all of these long and skinny shapes that you see through here -- let's just focus on this one. I'd like to you assume that this long and skinny shape here is longer than 5 microns. Has an aspect ratio of 5 to 1.

You'd call that asbestos; right?

Page 935 That would be counted as an asbestos fiber, 1 A 2 yes. 3 Even though it's not? Q A That's correct. 4 5 MR. BENOFF: Your Honor, for the record we'll 6 mark this as Exhibit 5801. 7 8 (Defendant's Exhibit 5801 marked for identification.) 9 10 11 BY MR. BENOFF: 12 Q Sir, this is your sample 1537; correct? 13 Α Correct. 14 And this is what you're calling asbestos; 0 15 right? That's right. 16 А 17 0 That's your promise to the jury, that this is 18 asbestos. 19 Α That's according to the counting rules, yes. 20 And you don't know if this is asbestiform, do Q 21 you? 22 Not beyond the methodology. Α 23 I believe you said earlier you don't know 0 2.4 whether any of the fibers you found were asbestiform; right? 2.5 26 Not according to the method to determine if 27 something is asbestiform or nonasbestiform. Just to 2.8 follow the method and count, according to the counting