

Johnson & Johnson Statement on The Miller Firm LLC Announcing Support for the Plan

June 24, 2024 - Attributable to Erik Haas, Worldwide Vice President of Litigation, Johnson & Johnson:

“The Miller Firm LLC, representing thousands of ovarian talc claimants, has joined other leading mass tort law firms in announcing their support for [the reorganization Plan](#) that provides for the final and comprehensive resolution of the ovarian talc claims. This latest announcement highlights the Company’s progress in building overwhelming support for the Plan.

[The Miller Firm LLC](#) joins a list of leading mass tort firms and organizations that are advising their clients to vote “yes” to the plan as it is in the claimant's best interest.

- [Ad Hoc Committee of Supporting Counsel](#) (the “AHC”) represents over 70,000 claimants and supports the Plan.
- [The Future Claims Representative](#) (“FCR”) represents all future ovarian claimants and supports the Plan.
- [Aylstock, Witkin, Kreis and Overholtz](#), PLC supports the Plan and, in their announcement, noted the Plan “would secure meaningful, timely recoveries for eligible clients” and “allocates substantial resources.”
- [The Keller Postman firm](#), a leading plaintiff’s law firm that had opposed prior resolution efforts now supports the Plan.

At this juncture, only a small and dwindling minority of firms oppose the Plan, led by the Beasley Allen law firm, which has stated its intention only to consider resolutions through the MDL, where it stands to take the largest share of the common benefit fund that draws up to 12% of the recovery that otherwise would go to the other counsel. That stated position places Beasley Allen’s economic interests above those of its clients—and other counsel in this matter. Beasley Allen’s claimed entitlement to that windfall is the height of irony, as it has lost every case it has tried to date and in a decade of litigating these claims has not recovered a dime for its clients.

Our message remains consistent: Let the women vote and decide for themselves whether the Plan is in their best interests.”

###